

## REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12-17, 19, and 20 remain in the application. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 15, 16, 17, and 19 have been amended. Claims 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, and 18 have been canceled. Claims 21 and 22 have been added.

The drawings stand objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they allegedly do not show the claimed "reference surfaces," and the specification stands objected to as allegedly failing to provide a proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

These objections are respectfully traversed as the reference surfaces are clearly shown and identified in the drawings and described in the specification. Specifically, FIG. 2 shows reference surfaces 44 (end surfaces of the bosses 42) which cooperate to precisely locate the screen) and page 4, line 15 through page 5, line 4 of the specification describes the same. FIG. 2 also shows the reference surface defined by the slot 66 which precisely locates the bottom of the upper mirror, as described on page 5, lines 14-18 of the specification. FIG. 2 further shows the reference surfaces 116 (angled-down surfaces) defined by pockets 114 for precisely locating the lower mirror, as described on page 6, lines 17-23 of the specification. FIG. 3 (and FIG. 2) shows reference surface 120 (rear surface) of the vertical wall 106 for precisely locating the light projector assembly, as described on page 7, lines 1-8 of the specification. FIG. 5 shows reference surface 40 (bottom) of flange pocket 38 for precisely locating the top of the upper mirror, as described on page 5, lines 5-6 of the specification. FIG. 6 shows reference surface 84 (bottom) of outer pocket 80 for precisely locating the screen according to a second embodiment of the invention, and reference surface 86 (bottom) of inner pocket 82 precisely locating the top of the upper mirror according to a second embodiment of the invention. The reference surfaces

84 and 86 are described on page 6, lines 1-10 of the specification.

Accordingly, withdrawal of these objections is respectfully urged.

Claims 3, 10, 14, and 22 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because of the recitation of the phrase "reference surfaces."

The clarifications set forth above regarding the phrase "reference surfaces" with respect to the drawings and specification are incorporated herein by reference.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully urged.

Claims 1-11, 13-19 and 21-22 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by VanBreemen et al. (VanBreemen).

Before addressing the substance of this rejection, applicants would like to note that only claims 1-20 were pending in the application when the Office Action was issued (claims 21 and 22 have been added herein). Thus, it is assumed that the listing of claims 21 and 22 in this Office Action is a typographical error.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to recite that "locating means unitary with the structure, for mechanically aligning the components with one another, *the locating means comprising a plurality of reference surfaces.*" Independent claim 14 originally recited "reference surfaces unitary with the enclosure, for mechanically aligning the components with one another."

VanBreemen fails to expressly or inherently disclose reference surfaces for locating the lower mirror and the image source. These components appear to be floating within the interior space of the cabinet in FIGS. 1, 2, and 4 of the drawings. Moreover, the specification does not describe any type of locating means or reference surfaces for these elements.

VanBreemen fails to expressly or inherently disclose the claimed reference surfaces for locating the upper mirror and the screen. The screen appears to be mounted in a front cabinet element, which is separate from the main cabinet element. The top of the upper mirror appears to be mounted to this front cabinet element also. The bottom of the lower mirror appears to be floating within the interior space of the cabinet in FIG. 1, resting on a baffle attached to the image source in FIG. 2, or resting on a baffle extending between the image source and the lower mirror in FIG. 4. Furthermore, the specification does not describe any type of locating means or reference surfaces for these elements.

VanBreemen also fails to expressly or inherently disclose reference surfaces defined by the pocket and slot of claims 4 and 15, the boss of claims 5 and 22, the vertical wall of claims 7 and 19, the two pockets of claims 8 and 16, and the pocket of claim 21.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully urged.

Claims 12 and 20 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a), as being unpatentable over VanBreemen in view of Boldt et al. (Boldt).

Claim 12 requires the reference surfaces of claim 1 and claim 20 requires the reference surfaces of claim 14. The addition of Boldt fails to cure the deficiencies of VanBreemen, as Boldt merely discloses a multipiece cabinet molded from plastic. Thus, VanBreemen in view of Boldt fail to arrive at the invention of claims 12 and 20.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully urged.

Favorable reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested as it is believed that all outstanding issues have been addressed herein and, further, that claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12-

17, 19, and 20-22 are in condition for allowance, early notification of which is earnestly solicited. Should there be any questions or other matters whose resolution may be advanced by a telephone call, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney at his number listed below.

The petition fee for the two (2) month extension of time is included herewith. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any other fees which may be required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2061.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Paul A. Schwarz, Esq.  
Reg. No. 37,577

DUANE MORRIS LLP  
100 College Road West, Suite 100  
Princeton, New Jersey 08540  
(609) 919-4408  
(609) 919-4401 - facsimile

PTN\37646.1